Case 5:09-mj-71031-MRGD Document 4 Filed 12/18/09 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number 09-mj-71031HRL
v. PEDRO MARTINEZ-CASTRO, Defendant.	OPDED OF DETENTION DENIDRIO TRANS
TEDRO MARTINEZ-CASTRO, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142	(f), a detention hearing was held on December 18, 2009.
Defendant was present, represented by his attorney Lara Vinnard A	
Attorney Steve Seitz.	
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted	
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a	
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of	conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
whichever is later.	
	or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	
	the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	
	ent of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq	
B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm	
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the comm	or combination of conditions will real on a sure the
/ No presumption applies.	unity.
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	DEC 1 8 2009
	vidence to rebut the applicable promotors WINEXING
/ / The defendant has not come forward with sufficient extherefore will be ordered detained.	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebu	it the applicable presumption[s] to wit.SAN JOSE
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	
PARTIII. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPL	ICABLE)
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will	
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR	
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will	
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION	
	18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
the hearing and finds as follows:	
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived w	ritten findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney Ger	_
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the	
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
A 7	
I	
1-1-1-a	hans
Dated: 12/18/09	
HOWARD R. LILOYD	
United States Magistrate Judge	

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____